Faculty of Liberal Arts English Department Course Syllabus

EG221: Critical Reading/Integrated Reading and Writing

Semester 1/2024 (August 13 – December 2, 2024)

Instructor: Asst. Prof. Salinee Antarasena, Ph.D. Section: TH 1.30 – 4.30 PM

Instructor's Office: R. 841

Office Hours: by appointment Email: dr.maia@maia-antarasena.com

1. Prerequisite course:

Curriculum 2018: TU105 or exempted

Curriculum 2022: -

2. Course Description:

Critical thinking and advanced reading skills, ability to analyze and synthesize information from various sources, strategies for structuring basic academic texts, and ability to write general academic texts that reflect their needs and relate to academic reading and writing tasks.

3. Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs)

- PLO 2 Communicate in English effectively
- PLO 3 Apply English knowledge and skills in communication and profession

4. Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs)

- CLO 1 Practice critical thinking and advanced reading skills (PLO 2, PLO 3)
- CLO 2 Analyze and synthesize information from various sources for structuring basic academic texts (PLO 2, PLO 3)
- CLO 3 Write general academic texts that reflect their needs and relate to academic reading and writing tasks (PLO 2, PLO 3)

5. Teaching and Evaluation Plan

WK	Date/time	Number	CLOs	Topic/content	*Learning	Evaluation
		of hours			activity	
1	August 13-	3	CLO 1	Part 1: Reading	- Classroom	- Attendance
	16			Strategies	teaching	checking
					- Classroom	- Group
					practice	discussion
2	August 19-	3	CLO 1	Part 1: Reading	- Classroom	- Attendance
	23			Strategies	teaching	checking
					- Classroom	- Group
					practice	discussion
3	August 26-	3	CLO 1	Part 1: Reading	- Classroom	- Attendance
	30			Strategies	teaching	checking
					- Classroom	- Group

WK	Date/time	Number of hours	CLOs	Topic/content	*Learning activity	Evaluation
					practice	discussion
4	September	3	CLO 1	Part 2: From	- Classroom	- Attendance
	2-6		CLO 2	Reading to Writing	teaching	checking
					- Classroom	- Group
					practice	discussion
5	September	3	CLO 1	Part 2: From	- Classroom	- Attendance
	9-13		CLO 2	Reading to Writing	teaching	checking
			CLO 3		- Classroom	- Group
					practice	discussion
					- Reading	- Reading/
					log/ Reading	Writing
					Portfolio	assignment
6	September	3	CLO 1	Unit 1: Education	- Classroom	- Attendance
	16-20		CLO 2	Reimagined	teaching	checking
			CLO 3		- Classroom	- Group
					practice	discussion
					- Reading	- Reading /
					log/ Reading Portfolio	Writing
7	Cantambar	3	CLO 1	Unit 2: Fan Minds	- Classroom	assignment - Attendance
/	September 23-27	3	CLO 1 CLO 2	Unveiled	teaching	checking
	23-21		CLO 2	Olivelled	- Classroom	- Group
			CLO 3		practice	discussion
					- Reading	- Quiz
					log/ Reading	C
					Portfolio	
	1		m Week: 2	9 - 30 Sep; 1 - 6 Oct 2	024	
9	October	3	CLO 1	Unit 3: Breath of	- Classroom	- Attendance
	7-11		CLO 2	Change	teaching	checking
			CLO 3		- Classroom	- Group
					practice	discussion
					- Reading	- Reading /
					log/ Reading	Writing
10	October 15-	3	CLO 1	Unit 4: Gaming	Portfolio - Classroom	assignment - Attendance
10	18	3	CLO 1 CLO 2	Through the Lens	teaching	- Attendance checking
	10		CLO 2 CLO 3	Tillough the Lens	- Classroom	- Group
					practice	discussion
					- Reading	- Reading /
					log/ Reading	Writing
					Portfolio	assignment
11	October 21-	3	CLO 1	Unit 5: College's	- Classroom	- Attendance
	25		CLO 2	True Value	teaching	checking
			CLO 3		- Classroom	- Group
					practice	discussion
					- Reading	- Reading /
					log/ Reading	Writing

WK	Date/time	Number of hours	CLOs	Topic/content	*Learning activity	Evaluation
		or mours			Portfolio	assignment
12	October 28-	3	CLO 1	Unit 6: Costs	- Classroom	- Attendance
	31,		CLO 2	versus Price	teaching	checking
	November		CLO 3		- Classroom	- Group
	1				practice	discussion
					- Reading	- Reading /
					log/ Reading	Writing
					Portfolio	assignment
13	November	3	CLO 1	Unit 7: Classroom	- Classroom	- Attendance
	4-8		CLO 2	Debates Dilemma	teaching	checking
			CLO 3		- Classroom	- Group
					practice	discussion
					- Reading	- Reading /
					log/ Reading	Writing
			GT O 1	77 1: 0 75: 1	Portfolio	assignment
14	November	3	CLO 1	Unit 8: Mind	- Classroom	- Attendance
	11-15		CLO 2	Games Illusion	teaching	checking
			CLO 3		- Classroom	- Group
					practice	discussion
					- Reading	- Reading /
					log/ Reading Portfolio	Writing
15	November	3	CLO 1	Reviews and	- Reviews	assignment - Attendance
13	18-22	3	CLO 1	Quizzes	- Reviews	checking
	10-22		CLO 2 CLO 3	Quizzes	- Quiz	- Quiz
16	November	3	CLO 1	Final Project	Presentation	Presentation
10	25-29]	CLO 1	Presentations	1 1050manon	1 1050manon
			CLO 3	1 Toschiumons		

Testing Schedule:

Exam	Date	Time
Midterm	1 October 2024 (Tue)	12.00 - 14.00
Final	9 Dec 2024 (Mon)	13:00 - 16:00

6. Evaluation:

Evaluation	Percentage
1. Attendance + Participation	5%
2. Quiz + Assignment	
(Comprehension and/or Vocabulary Quizzes, Reflection papers	25%
and/or Reading Log)	
3. Midterm Exam	20%
4. Reading Project	20%
5. Final Exam	30%
Total	100%

7. Grading Criteria:

85% and above A B+= 80% - 84.99% В 75% - 79.99% = C+70% - 74.99% = C = 60% - 69.99% D+55% - 59.99% = D 50% - 54.99% = F 49.99% and below =

8. Texts:

Pookcharoen, S. (2023). Critical Reading and Writing. Threelada Printing.

9. Supporting reading materials:

Williams, J., & Cavage, C. (2018). Prism reading 4. Cambridge University Press. https://www.bbc.com/news

10. Add-drop without W: 13 – 26 August 2024

Withdrawal period with W: 29 August – 20 October 2024

11. Holidays:

October 14 (M) King Bhumibol Adulyadej Memorial Day October 23 (W) Chulalongkorn Memorial Day

Lateness

Be on time. Two instances of arriving late (more than 15 mins) count as one absence.

Absence

- 1. Four absences automatically disqualify you from class, resulting in an F.
- 2. The instructors are not required to make up any exams or activities that occur on the day students are absent from class. An exception is made for the student who is absent from illness with a medical certificate.

Plagiarism:

The Honor Code requires that work you turn in for assessment is the product of your own individual synthesis or integration of ideas. Plagiarism means copying or paraphrasing someone else's ideas or words and presenting them as your own without proper attribution of the sources, including those from the Internet. Other forms of dishonesty or cheating, such as using your work from one course to resubmit in another course are also forbidden. Any students caught plagiarizing or committing other forms of cheating will receive an F on that assignment, regardless of any explanation.

*If we have to convert to online instruction, the following alternative assessment criteria will be applied:

Evaluation	Percentage
1. Attendance + Participation	5%
2. Quiz + Assignment	
(Comprehension and/or Vocabulary Quizzes, Reflection papers and/or Reading	40%
Log)	
3. Quizzes	30%
5. Final Project	25%
Total	100%

**Grading Criteria:

90% and above A B+= 85% - 89.99% 80% - 84.99% В C+75% - 79.99% = 70% - 74.99% C D+= 65% - 69.99% 60% - 64.99% D 59.99% and below F

12. Final Project Evaluation: 40 Points (Pair/Group Work: 2-3 people)

The total score is 40 points (to be converted to 20%);

- 1. Project (25 points): Summary 10 points and Reflective ideas 15 points
- 2. Presentation (15 points): Summary and ideas 5 points and Class discussion 10 points

(Please see the criteria in the tables on the following pages.)

Rubric for Summary (10 points)

Points	Criteria
0	0 point will be given if the student has failed to do the summary.
1-4	1-4 points will be given for a summary that demonstrates the students' superficial understanding of the reading selection by:
	<u>Case I</u> : Including an ambiguous statement which the students purport to capture the main idea; failing to include information; including trivial information or unrelated information; and not showing connection between ideas.
	<u>Case II</u> : Including essential information; but failing to include a statement which captures the main idea; including trivial information or unrelated information; and not showing connection among ideas.
5-6	5-6 points will be given for a summary that demonstrates the students' understanding of the reading selection by: including a statement which somewhat captures the main idea; including adequate essential information; but also including trivial information or redundant ideas; and showing ambiguous relationships between ideas.
7-8	7-8 points will be given for a summary that demonstrates the students' understanding of the reading selection by: including a clear statement of the main idea of the original reading; including adequate essential information; arranging ideas into a logical order; but also including trivial information or redundant ideas.
9-10	9-10 points will be given for a summary that demonstrates the students' thorough understanding of the reading selection by: including a clear statement of the main idea of the original reading; including essential information; and arranging ideas into a logical order.

Rubric for Reflective Ideas (15 Points)

Points	Criteria
0	0 point will be given if the student has failed to offer any argument or claim.
1-6	1-6 points will be given for responses which indicate the students' failure to understand the reading selection and include illogical claims or arguments (The student makes use of his/ her prior knowledge to make claims or arguments without any reasons, factual information, experience or any support from trustworthy sources).
7-9	7-9 points will be given for responses that indicate the students' superficial understanding of the reading selection. Those responses are loosely related to the reading selection but indicate the students' logical claims or arguments with supportive evidence from text or trustworthy sources.
10-12	10-12 points will be given for responses which indicate the student's clear understanding of the reading selection and indicate the students' logical claims or arguments. Yet those responses are not supported with enough valid evidence from trustworthy sources, reasons, facts, or experience.
13-15	13-15 points will be given for responses which indicate the students' clear understanding of the reading selection and indicate the students' logical claims or arguments (the student may make use of his/her prior knowledge and knowledge of text to make claims or arguments). Yet those responses must be well supported with reasons, and evidence from at least three trustworthy sources. The students may include their own experience or other factual information.

Rubric for Presentation (15 Points)

Points	Criteria
0-5	0-5 points will be given for responses of which structure is difficult to follow, text and graphics do not complement each other, the speakers are distracted with nervous mannerisms, fail to manage the allotted time and make glaring pronunciation mistakes.
6-9	6-9 points will be given for the ability to present the content in a reasonably clear and logical order, text and graphics are interesting but sometimes cluttered, the presenters at times fail to recover from mistakes, do not efficiently makes good use of allowed time, make some mistakes on pronunciation that may trouble comprehension.
10-15	10-15 points will be given for the ability to the content that is presented in clear, logical orders, with the structure the audience can follow easily, text and graphics are interesting and suitable for the topic, the presenters speak loudly and clearly and use facial expression and emotive tones, making good use of allowed time. Language mistakes are minimal.